UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,443	12/22/2000	Fredrik Johansson	000254.00011	8925	
22907 BANNER & W	7590 01/18/2007 /ITCOFF		EXAM	INER	
1001 G STREET N W			MILLS, DONALD L		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>9</i> -
09/742,443	JOHANSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	T
Donald L. Mills	2616	

	Donald L. Mills	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause		
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	w);	•	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(1 TOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 65-102. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 103-129.	•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/742,443

Art Unit: 2616

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Rejection Under 35 USC 112

On page 4 of the remarks, regarding claims 68 and 93, the Applicant argues that the claims have been amended to be in a more preferred form, thus rendering the rejection moot. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Applicant has amended the claim to eliminate the limitation stating, "wherein the correspondent node is unaware of the mobile IP protocol." However, the claims remain incomplete by omitting the previously listed essential step. Therefore, the amended claims have not rendered the previous rejection moot.

SEEMA S. RAO 116/07
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 2